

Misappropriation of Trade Secrets

Mike Vaughn Custom Sports, Inc. V. Chrystem “Chris” Piku, Et Al.

THE PLAINTIFF OBTAINED A FAVORABLE VERDICT.

Foster Swift Collins & Smith PC (Farmington Hills, MI) retained Hampton IP & Economic Consultants, on behalf of Mike Vaughn Custom Sports, with regard to Case No. 2:12-cv-13083 in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, to provide an opinion on damages resulting from the defendants’ trade dress infringement and other wrongful acts.

Mike Vaughn Custom Sports accused the defendants of wrongful acts involving a line of hockey equipment used in the National Hockey League.

Hampton IP submitted a Rule 26 expert report, as well as a supplemental report. Hampton IP also provided expert testimony at trial.

OTR Wheel Engineering, Inc., Blackstone/OTR, LLC, And F.B.T. Enterprises, Inc. V. West Worldwide Services, Inc., Et Al.

McKee, Voorhees & Sease, PLC (Des Moines, IA) retained Hampton IP & Economic Consultants, on behalf of West Worldwide Services, Inc. and Samuel J. West, to provide an opinion on monetary damages arising from alleged federal trademark infringement and trade secret misappropriation, as well as an opinion of the sales and profit West Worldwide lost as a result of the Preliminary Injunction Order at the request of OTR, in Case No. 2:14-cv-00085 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington.

OTR claimed West Worldwide infringed OTR’s Outrigger tire tread design (trade dress) and trade mark and misappropriated OTR’s trade secrets on how to manufacture its tires.

Hampton IP submitted a Rule 26 expert Report and a Rebuttal Report in response to the defendant’s claims.

Preferred Pump & Equipment L.P. V. Drillers Service, Inc., Et Al.

SETTLED FAVORABLY FOR THE PLAINTIFF BEFORE TRIAL

Ice Miller LLP (Indianapolis, IN) retained Hampton IP & Economic Consultants, on behalf of Preferred Pump & Equipment L.P., with regard to Cause No. 79D02-1406-PL00036 in the Tippecanoe Superior Court in the State of Indiana, to provide an opinion on monetary remedies arising from misappropriation of trade secrets.

Preferred Pump accused the defendants of disclosing confidential product information to Drillers Service, Inc., a competitor.

Hampton IP submitted a Rule 26 report, as well as a supplemental report. Hampton IP also provided deposition testimony.

Robin Singh Educational Services D/B/A Testmasters V. Blueprint Test Preparation, LLC, Et Al.

THE DEFENDANT OBTAINED A FAVORABLE VERDICT.

Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold LLP (Los Angeles) and Ropers, Majeski, Kohn & Bentley (Los Angeles) retained Hampton IP professionals, on behalf of the defendant, with regard to Case No. BC 346066 in the Superior Court of the State of California, for the County of Los Angeles, to provide an opinion of the damages arising from the alleged breach of duty of loyalty to plaintiff's employees and misuse of proprietary information.

Hampton IP professionals provided deposition and trial testimony.

The KatiRoll Company, Inc. V. Kati Junction Inc., Et Al.

THE CASE SETTLED PRIOR TO TRIAL.

Hampton IP was retained by Feldman Law Group, P.C., located in New York City, on behalf of Kati Junction Inc., to provide an opinion on monetary damages arising from trademark and trade dress infringement and misappropriation of trade secrets and a rebuttal to The KatiRoll Company, Inc.'s expert in Case No: 1:14-cv-01750 in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York.

The case involved the alleged infringement of the KatiRoll's federally registered service mark "The Kati Roll Company" and KatiRoll's trade dress. The KatiRoll also claimed misappropriation of trade secrets—the recipes for its kati rolls.

Hampton IP submitted a Rebuttal Report.

Video Tech Services, Inc. D/B/A VTS Services V. Edward Abdalla, Et Al.

Minaret Legal (Mammoth Lakes, CA) retained Hampton IP & Economic Consultants, on behalf of Video Tech Services, with regard to Case No. SC105947 in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, to calculate economic damages due to the defendants' unjust enrichment from misappropriation of trade secrets.

VTS Services accused the defendants of benefiting from an accelerated entry into the audio/visual market due to the use of VTS Services' client list.

Hampton IP submitted a declaration opining on unjust enrichment gains by the defendants.

Argus Fire And Casualty Ins. Co., Et Al. V. American Superior Insurance Company, Et Al.

Greenberg Traurig, LLP (Miami) and Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker (Miami) retained Hampton IP & Economic Consultants, on behalf of the defendant, with regard to Case No. 98-02512 CA 27 in the 11th Judicial District Court of Florida, to provide rebuttal testimony regarding the alleged misappropriation of trade secrets. The trade secrets involved insurance policies, claims adjusting rules, and policy selection criteria.

We submitted a Rule 26 expert report and provided deposition testimony.

CD Listening Bar, Inc. D/B/A Super D And Super D/Phantom Sound & Video V. MSI Music Corporation D/B/A MSI Of Miami, Et Al.

THE CASE SETTLED.

Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP (Los Angeles) retained Hampton IP & Economic Consultants, on behalf of the defendant, with regard to Case No. 05 cc 04844 in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Orange, to assess damages from the alleged misappropriation of trade secrets. MSI Music allegedly had access to customer lists that SuperD claimed as proprietary and protected information.

Our consultants assisted in developing interrogatories and provided deposition testimony.

Imaging Technologies Direct, LLC D/B/A ITD Print Solutions V. Yorktown Industries, Inc., Et Al.

Levinson Arshonsky & Kurtz, LLP (Sherman Oaks, CA) retained Hampton IP & Economic Consultants, on behalf of Imaging Technologies Direct, LLC, to calculate monetary remedies arising from misappropriation of trade secrets in Case No.: SC121630 in the Superior Court of the State of California, Los Angeles County.

Imaging Technologies claimed Yorktown misappropriated Imaging Technologies' customer information by hiring Imaging Technologies' former employees.

Hampton IP assisted with mediation, submitted a Rule 26 expert report, and provided deposition testimony.

Karl Heinz Priewasser V. Lintec Corporation, Et Al.

Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear (Irvine, CA) retained Hampton IP & Economic Consultants, on behalf of Lintec Corporation and Lintec of America, Inc., to provide an opinion on monetary damages arising from trade secret misappropriation in Case No. 2:13-cv-01109 in the United States District Court, District of Arizona.

Mr. Priewasser accused Lintec of misappropriating and patenting purported trade secrets without naming Mr. Priewasser as an inventor. The purported trade secrets related to a protective sheet used for grinding semiconductor wafers.

Hampton IP submitted a Rule 26 Expert Report and provided deposition testimony.

Memry Corporation V. Kentucky Oil, NV, U.S. Inc., Et Al.

Cantor Colburn, LLP (Hartford) retained our consultants, on behalf of the plaintiff, with regard to Case No. 5:04-CV-03843 in the United States District Court for the District of Northern California, to provide rebuttal testimony regarding the alleged misappropriation of trade secrets. These trade secrets allegedly gave Memry the ability to design and develop technology used in oil well sand-screen applications.

We provided a Rule 26 expert report, and gave deposition and trial testimony.